

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,607	STINSON, JONATHAN S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jermie Cozart	3726	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Jermie Cozart.

(3) _____.

(2) Edward Kriegsman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2006

Time: 2:18pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Solovay (5,843,161) in view of Thompson et al. (5,957,974)

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

Solovay (5,843,161)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Edward Kriegsman, the Examiner indicated to Mr. Kriegsman that it was necessary to amend claim 23 in order to overcome the Solovay reference based on the fact that the functional language added to claim 23 by the RCE did not overcome the rejection of record. Mr. Kriegsman agreed to amend the claim with the limitations suggested by the Examiner, in order to place the application in condition or allowance..